2nd Parameterized Algorithms & Computational Experiments Challenge

Where it came from, how it went, who won, and what's next



Program committee track A, treewidth

Holger Dell

Saarland University & Cluster of Excellence

Program committee track B, minimum fill-in

Christian Komusiewicz* Nimrod Talmon Mathias Weller

Steering committee

Holger Dell Bart M. P. Jansen Thore Husfeldt Petteri Kaski Christian Komusiewicz Frances A. Rosamond* Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena Weizmann Institute of Science LIRMM Montpellier

Saarland University & Cluster of Excellence Eindhoven University of Technology ITU Copenhagen and Lund University Aalto University Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena University of Bergen

WHERE PACE CAME FROM

History of PACE

- PACE was conceived in fall 2015 when many FPT researchers gathered at the Simons institute
- Born from a feeling that parameterized algorithmics should have a greater impact on practice
- Partially inspired by the success of SAT-solving competitions in neighboring communities
- First iteration in 2015-2016
 - Track A: Treewidth (heuristically & exact)
 - Track B: Feedback Vertex Set

Goals

Investigate the applicability of algorithmic ideas from parameterized algorithmics

- 1. provide bridge between algorithm design&analysis theory and algorithm engineering practice
- 2. inspire new theoretical developments
- 3. investigate the competitiveness of analytical and design frameworks developed in the communities
- 4. produce universally accessible libraries of implementations and repositories of benchmark instances
- 5. encourage dissemination of the findings in scientific papers

htd – A Free, Open-Source Framework for (Customized) Tree Decompositions and Beyond

Michael Abseher^(⊠), Nysret Musliu, and Stefan Woltran

Institute of Information Systems, TU Wien, 184/2, Favoritenstraße 9-11, 1040 Vienna, Austria {abseher,musliu,woltran}@dbai.tuwien.ac.at

















DynASP2.5: Dynamic Programming on Tree Decompositions in Action*

mework

Johannes K. Fichte, M

Jdrasil: A Modular Library for Computing Tree

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Hiromu Ohtsuka for his help in implementing the block sieve data structure. He also thanks Yasuaki Kobayashi for helpful discussions and especially for drawing the author's attention to the notion of safe separators. This work would have been non-existent if not motivated by the timely challenges of PACE 2016 and 2017. The author is deeply indebted to their organizers, especially Holger Dell, for their dedication and excellent work.

Linear-t	Department of Computer Science, Meiji University Tama, Kawasaki, Japan, 214-8571 tamaki@cs.meiji.ac.jp		
	Rim van W Department of Dat The Netherlands	Holger Dell ¹ , Thore Husfeldt ² , Bart M. P. Jansen ³ , Petteri Kaski ⁴ , Christian Komusiewicz ⁵ , and Frances A. Rosamond ⁶	stricht,

PACE timeline in 2016-2017

- 1. Treewidth track
- 2. Track for computing minimum fill-in (chordal completion)

Time schedule

- November 1st 2016: Announcement of problems and inputs
- March 1st 2017: Submission of prototype program
- May 1st 2017: Submission of final program
- June 1st 2017: Result are communicated to participants
- September 6th 2017: Award ceremony at IPEC

Sponsor for prizes & travel

NETWORKS is a project of University of Amsterdam Eindhoven University of Technology Leiden University Center for Mathematics and Computer Science (CWI)



The NETWORKS project generously sponsors PACE with € 4000 1st prize (€ 500), 2nd prize (€ 300) and 3rd prize (€ 200)

Three subcategories in the competition, with €1000 travel award thenetworkcenter.nl

PACE timeline in 2017-2018

• PACE will focus on a single challenge problem next year

Time schedule

- Today: Announcement of the problem
- November 1st 2017: Detailed problem setting and inputs
- March 1st 2018: Submission of prototype program
- May 1st 2018: Submission of final program
- June 1st 2018: Result are communicated to participants
- August 20-24 2018: Award ceremony at IPEC

The third iteration of PACE

PACE 2017-2018 program committee

Édouard Bonnet Florian Sikora

Middlesex University, London University Paris Dauphine



How it went and who won

TRACK A: TREEWIDTH

PACE 2017 Track A: Treewidth

Treewidth Applications (outside of FPT)

- Register allocation in compilers (e.g., Thorup 1998)
- Preprocessing for shortest path (e.g., Chatterjee Ibsen-Jensen Pavlogiannis 2016)
- Treewidth of specific graph families (e.g., Kiyomia Okamotob Otachic 2015)
- Preprocessing for probabilistic inference (e.g., Otten Ihler Kask Dechter 2011)

PACE: submission requirements

- repository on github.com
- "edge list" input format
- Output: tree decomposition

Heuristic treewidth competition

Benchmark instances

100 public + 100 secret instances:

- 35% graphs from the **UAI 2014 competition** (probabilistic inference)
- 35% incidence graphs of **SAT competition** instances
- 16% graphs from **treedecomposition.com**
- 7% road graphs
- 7% transit networks

	number of edges	treewidth (upper bound)
median	14k	93
mean	991k	13k

Ranking by Preferential Voting

Instances=Voters

"Ballot" for instance he166.gr:

submission	width after 30 minutes
В	672
Е	957
А	994
С	33279

 \rightarrow Use Schulze method to combine votes

Participants

<mark>6 submissions</mark>:

- 3 new teams
- 3 teams from last year

Honorable mentions

Rank 4Max Bannach
Sebastian Berndt (University of Lübeck),
Thorsten Ehlers
(University of Kiel)

Philippe Jégou **Rank 5** Hanan Kanso (Aix-Marseille Université, LSIS) Cyril Terrioux

Rank 6Lukas Larisch
Felix Salfelder(King-Abdullah University of Science and Engineering)
(University of Leeds)

2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge PACE Uniting FPT and practice ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria

This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Michael Abseher, Nysret Musliu, Stefan Woltran

TU Wien, Institute of Information Systems

as the

Third Place Winners in Heuristic Treewidth Decomposition



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge PACE Uniting FPT and practice

ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria

This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Ben Strasser

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

as the

Second Place Winner in the Heuristic Treewidth Decomposition Challenge



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge PACE

Uniting FPT and practice

ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria

This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Keitaro Makii, Hiromu Ohtsuka, Takuto Sato, Hisao Tamaki

Meiji University

as the

First Place Winners in Heuristic Treewidth Decomposition



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

Plot: Winner vs. Second

advantage of Tamaki over Strasser



Number of edges and treewidth (logscale)

Exact treewidth competition

Benchmark instances

100 public + 100 secret instances

Grow balls in graphs from heuristic challenge

Use CPU months to test "instance difficulty" by running last year's winning solver

	number of edges	treewidth
median	730	11
mean	7300	31

Outcome

<mark>3 submissions</mark>:

1 new team

2 teams from last year

Running time on input ex196.gr (in seconds)

winner of PACE 2016 [4,921 third place of PACE 2017]71 second place of PACE 2017]27 winner of PACE 2017]17

Everyone was 100x faster than last year!

2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge PACE

Uniting FPT and practice ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria

This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Max Bannach, Sebastian Berndt, Thorsten Ehlers

University of Lübeck, University of Lübeck, University of Kiel as the

Third Place Winners in the Optimal Treewidth Decomposition Competition



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair
2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge PACE Uniting FPT and practice

ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria

This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Hiromu Ohtsuka and Hisao Tamaki

Meiji University

as the

Second Place Winners in the Optimal Treewidth Decomposition Competition



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2017 PACE Programme Committee Co-chairs



This is to certify that the 2017 FACE Frogram Committee has selected

Lukas Larisch and Felix Salfelder

King-Abdullah University of Science and Engineering

University of Leeds

as the

First Place Winners in the Optimal Treewidth Decomposition Competition



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2017 PACE Programme Committee Co-chairs

Exact treewidth: Plot



Treewidth competition future

New instance set for exact treewidth:

- Supports 1000x speed improvements over PACE 2017
- Persistent competition on optil.io

tdlib – PACE 2017

Lukas Larisch, Felix Salfelder

IPEC 2017





・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

= 900

Tree decomposition (and related) algorithms

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Free (libre) heuristic/exact implementations
- Pre/post processing
- As C++ library

- Tree decomposition (and related) algorithms
 - Free (libre) heuristic/exact implementations
 - Pre/post processing
 - ► As C++ library
- Explore theoretic results in practice
 - Register allocation (sdcc)
 P. K. Krause, L. Larisch: The Treewidth of C, (SCOPES'15)

Tree decomposition (and related) algorithms

- Free (libre) heuristic/exact implementations
- Pre/post processing
- ► As C++ library

Explore theoretic results in practice

- Register allocation (sdcc)
 P. K. Krause, L. Larisch: The Treewidth of C, (SCOPES'15)
- Treewidth bounds in large instances (e.g. maxsat, up to 1.e7/4.e11 vertices/edges, 7% rel. err)

Tree decomposition (and related) algorithms

- Free (libre) heuristic/exact implementations
- Pre/post processing
- ► As C++ library

Explore theoretic results in practice

- Register allocation (sdcc)
 P. K. Krause, L. Larisch: The Treewidth of C, (SCOPES'15)
- Treewidth bounds in large instances
 - (e.g. maxsat, up to 1.e7/4.e11 vertices/edges, 7% rel. err)

- Python bindings
- A Sagemath package

Preprocessing

 Rule based complete reduction for treewidth 4 islet, twig, buddy, series, cube. c.f. tdlib documentation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Preprocessing

- Rule based complete reduction for treewidth 4
- (Almost) simplicial vertex elimination rules



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Preprocessing

- Rule based complete reduction for treewidth 4
- (Almost) simplicial vertex elimination rules



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

• refactoring: C++11, generic programming

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

▶ refactoring: C++11, generic programming

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

structural/algorithmic improvements

- ▶ refactoring: C++11, generic programming
- structural/algorithmic improvements
- ▶ reference implementations, exact & heuristic



Running time (seconds)

reference implementations, exact & heuristic



Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

Guided elimination order brute forcing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing

Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

Guided elimination order brute forcing

- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing
- Exact algorithm, recycling
 - Rule based preprocessor

Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

- Guided elimination order brute forcing
- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing
- Exact algorithm, recycling
 - Rule based preprocessor
 - Exact kernel inspired by PACE'16 (Tamaki)
 - .. implementing Arnborg, Corneil, Proskurowski + more ideas.

Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

- Guided elimination order brute forcing
- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing
- Exact algorithm, recycling
 - Rule based preprocessor
 - Exact kernel inspired by PACE'16 (Tamaki)
 - .. implementing Arnborg, Corneil, Proskurowski + more ideas.

Restructured, object oriented

Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

- Guided elimination order brute forcing
- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing
- Exact algorithm, recycling
 - Rule based preprocessor
 - Exact kernel inspired by PACE'16 (Tamaki)
 - .. implementing Arnborg, Corneil, Proskurowski + more ideas.

- Restructured, object oriented
- Ported to tdlib/gala

Heuristic "anytime" algorithm

- Guided elimination order brute forcing
- ► ~→ interruptible exact algorithm
- Postprocessing
- Exact algorithm, recycling
 - Rule based preprocessor
 - Exact kernel inspired by PACE'16 (Tamaki)
 - .. implementing Arnborg, Corneil, Proskurowski + more ideas.

- Restructured, object oriented
- Ported to tdlib/gala
- Optimised for speed
- $\blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow$ pretty fast on small instances

Thank You.

How it went and who won

TRACK B: MINIMUM FILL-IN

The 2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge: Track B Minimum Fill-In

Christian Komusiewicz

Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena

Nimrod Talmon Weizmann Institute of Science

Mathias Weller LIRMM, Université de Montpellier II

Challenge Problem

Minimum Fill-In

Input: An undirected graph G = (V, E). **Task:** Find a minimum-size edge set F such that $(V, E \cup F)$ is chordal.



Challenge Problem

Minimum Fill-In

Input: An undirected graph G = (V, E). **Task:** Find a minimum-size edge set F such that $(V, E \cup F)$ is chordal.



Challenge Problem

Minimum Fill-In

Input: An undirected graph G = (V, E). **Task:** Find a minimum-size edge set F such that $(V, E \cup F)$ is chordal.



Minimum Fill-In is

- fixed-parameter tractable e.g. parameterized by solution size |F|,
- admits subexponential-time algorithms

C. Komusiewicz (FSU Jena)

PACE Track B

Challenge Setup

Benchmark Instances: 100 public + 100 hidden instances

Instance origin: Systems of linear equations, phylogenetic networks, social networks, molecular interaction networks

Challenge Setup

Benchmark Instances: 100 public + 100 hidden instances

Instance origin: Systems of linear equations, phylogenetic networks, social networks, molecular interaction networks



Ranking: # solved hidden instances within 30 minutes (each)C. Komusiewicz (FSU Jena)PACE Track B3





C. Komusiewicz (FSU Jena)







Édouard Bonnet, R.B. Sandeep, Florian Sikora

University Paris-Dauphine Hungarian Academy of Sciences University Paris-Dauphine

as the

Third Place Winners in the Minimum Fill-In Challenge



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2017 PACE Programme Committee Co-chairs




Jeremias Berg, Matti Järvisalo, Tuukka Korhonen

University of Helsinki

as the

Second Place Winners in the Minimum Fill-In Challenge



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2017 PACE Programme Committee Co-chairs

Results



2nd Parameterized Algorithms and Computational Experiments Challenge

PACE

Uniting FPT and practice

ALGO/IPEC 2017 September 4 – 8 Vienna, Austria This is to certify that the 2017 PACE Program Committee has selected

Yasuaki Kobayashi, Hisao Tamaki

Kyoto University

Meiji University

as the

First Place Winners in the Minimum Fill-In Challenge



Holger Dell, Saarland University. Track A Chair

Christian Komusiewicz, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Track B Chair

2017 PACE Programme Committee Co-chairs

About our submission (Track B)

Yasuaki Kobayashi Hisao Tamaki

Minimum Fill-In Problem

Given: undirected graph G = (V, E)Task: find a smallest F such that $G' = (V, E \cup F)$ is chordal

Techniques

- A sufficient condition for edges that can be safely added.
- A modified version of "Positive-instance driven dynamic programming for treewidth".

Edges that can be safely added

Lemma [Bodlaender et al. 2011]:

Let S be a minimal separator of G such that $S \subseteq N(v)$ for some $v \in V$. Suppose |miss(S)| = 1, where miss(S) is the set of missing edges in G[S]. Then, there is an optimal solution that contains miss(S).

- If G has a minimal separator S that satisfies the above condition, we can decompose G by using S.
- We can generalize this lemma for minimal separators that have more than one missing edges (with some additional conditions).

Positive-Instance Driven DP

- The treewidth and minimum fill-in problem can be solved by DP algorithms based on minimal separators and potential maximal cliques [Bouchitté & Todinca 2011].
- Tamaki developed a positive-instance driven DP for treewidth [Tamaki 2017].
 - applicable to the min fill-in problem with some non-trivial modifications.

Thank you!

https://github.com/TCS-Meiji/PACE2017-TrackB/

CHANGING ROLES



NETWORKS is a project of University of Amsterdam Eindhoven University of Technology Leiden University Center for Mathematics and Computer Science (CWI)



NEI

WORKS

https://pacechallenge.wordpress.com